arXiv:1408.3747v1 [math.DG] 16 Aug 2014

Configuration spaces of plane polygons and a sub-Riemannian approach to the equitangent problem

Jesús Jerónimo-Castro* and Serge Tabachnikov†

August 19, 2014

1 Introduction

Given a strictly convex plane curve γ and a point x in its exterior, there are two tangent segments to γ from x. The *equitangent locus* of γ is the set of points x for which the two tangent segments have equal lengths. An *equitangent n-gon* of γ is a circumscribed n-gon such that the tangent segments to γ from the vertices have equal lengths, see Figure 1.

For example, if γ is a circle, the equitangent locus is the whole exterior of γ (this property is characteristic of circles [20]), and if γ is an ellipse then its equitangent locus consists of the two axes of symmetry (this implies that an ellipse does not admit equitangent n-gons with $n \neq 4$).

Generically, the equitangent locus of a curve γ is also a curve, say, Γ. Note that Γ is not empty; in fact, every curve γ has pairs of equal tangent segments of an arbitrary length. The equitangent problem is to study the relation between a curve and its equitangent locus.

For example, if the equitangent locus contains a line tangent to γ, then γ must be a circle. On the other hand, there exists an infinite-dimensional,

*Facultad de Ingeniería, Universidad Autónoma de Querétaro, Cerro de las Campanas s/n, C. P. 76010, Querétaro, México; jesusjero@hotmail.com

†Department of Mathematics, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802, USA, and ICERM, ICERM, Brown University, Box 1995, Providence, RI 02912, USA; tabachni@math.psu.edu



Figure 1: An equitangent pentagon: $|A_2B_1| = |A_2B_2|$, and likewise, cyclically.

functional family of curves γ for which the equitangent locus contains a line Γ, disjoint from γ: these are the curves of constant width in the half-plane model of the hyperbolic geometry, where Γ plays the role of the absolute. These observations were made in [14, 15].

Another, somewhat surprising, observation is that there exist nested curves $\gamma \subset \gamma_1$ such that γ_1 is disjoint from the equitangent locus of γ, see [23]. In other words, a point can make a full circuit around γ in such a way that, at all times, the two tangent segments to γ have unequal lengths.

Two tangent segments to a curve γ are equal if and only if there is a circle touching γ at these tangency points, see Figure 2. The locus of centers of such bitangent circles is called the *symmetry set* of γ, see [7, 10]. Symmetry sets are of great interest, due to their applications in image recognition and computer vision.



Figure 2: C_2 is a bitangent circle, its center O_2 belongs to the symmetry set of the curve γ.

Our approach to the equitangent problem uses ideas of sub-Riemannian geometry.

Yu. Baryshnikov and V. Zharnitsky [4], and J. Landsberg [16], pioneered a sub-Riemannian approach in the study of mathematical billiards. One is interested in plane billiard tables that admit a 1-parameter family of n-periodic billiard trajectories. If the billiard curve is an ellipse, such a family exists for every $n \geq 3$. Are there other curves with this property? (For $n = 2$, the billiard table has constant width).

It is observed in [4, 16] that if an n-gon is a periodic billiard trajectory then the directions of the boundary of the billiard table at its vertices are determined by the reflection law (the angle of incidence equals the angle of reflection). This defines an n-dimensional distribution, called the *Birkhoff distribution*, on the space of n-gons with a fixed perimeter length, and a 1-parameter family of periodic billiard trajectories corresponds to a curve in this space, tangent to the distribution (a horizontal curve).

This point of view makes it possible to construct a functional space of billiard tables, admitting 1-parameter families of n-periodic billiard trajectories, and to give a new proof of the $n = 3$ case of the Ivrii conjecture (the set of n-periodic billiard trajectories has zero measure). See [11, 24] for a similar approach to outer billiards.

Let us outline the contents of the present paper. We are interested in the situation when a convex curve γ admits a 1-parameter family of equitangent n-gons; such curves are called *n-fine curves*, see Section 4 for the precise definition.

Consider Figure 1 again. The vectors B_1A_2 and B_2A_3 make equal angles with the vector B_1B_2. A polygon $B_1B_2\dots$ is called *framed* if vectors are assigned to its vertices such that the vectors at the adjacent vertices make equal angles with the respective side; see Section 2 for definition. The space of framed n-gons is denoted by $\mathcal{F}_n$.

We prove that $\mathcal{F}_n$ is an $2n$-dimensional variety. For n odd, an n-gon uniquely determines the framing (up to a certain involution, see Section 2). If $n \geq 4$ is even, a framed polygon satisfies one non-trivial condition, and if this condition holds then there exists a 1-parameter family of framings. For example, a framed quadrilateral is cyclic (inscribed into a circle).

If γ is an n-fine curve then one can turn the respective framed n-gon $B_1B_2\dots$ inside γ; in this motion, the velocity of each vertex is aligned with the respective framing vector. This condition defines an n-dimensional distribution on the space $\mathcal{F}_n$, denoted by $\mathcal{D}$. The 1-parameter family of the framed polygons $B_1B_2\dots$ corresponds to a horizontal curve in $(\mathcal{F}_n, \mathcal{D})$.

We prove that, on the open dense subset of *generic* framed polygons (see

Section 2 for definition), the distribution $\mathcal{D}$ is bracket generating of the type $(n, 2n)$, that is, the vector fields tangent to the distribution and their first commutators span the tangent space to the space of framed polygons at every point.

Return to Figure 2. If $A_1A_2\dots$ is an equitangent n-gon then one obtains a chain of circles $C_1C_2\dots$ in which every two consecutive circles are tangent. This chain is *oriented* in the sense that the circles can rotate, as if they were linked gears. Let $\mathcal{C}_n$ be the space of such oriented chains of n circles. This space also carries an n-dimensional distribution, $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$, see Section 2, and an n-fine curve corresponds to a horizontal curve in $(\mathcal{C}_n, \widetilde{\mathcal{B}})$.

We define an open dense subset of generic chains of circles, and prove that this set is in bijection with the set of generic framed n-gons; this bijection identifies the distributions $\mathcal{D}$ and $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$.

The centers $O_1O_2\dots$ of the circles in an oriented chain form an n-gon. The sides of this polygon are oriented, see Section 2, and its signed perimeter length is equal to zero. This polygon is an *evolute* of the respective piecewise circular curve, see [3], and the fact that the signed perimeter length vanishes is analogous to the well-known property of the evolute of a smooth closed curve: its signed length equals zero (the sign changes at the cusps). The space of such zero-length n-gons is denoted by $\mathcal{E}_n$. The variety $\mathcal{E}_n$ has dimension $2n-1$, and the projection $\mathcal{C}_n \to \mathcal{E}_n$ is an $\mathbf{R}$-bundle.

The projection of the distribution $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ is an n-dimensional distribution $\mathcal{B}$ on $\mathcal{E}_n$, essentially, the above mentioned Birkhoff distribution, defined by the billiard reflection law. This provides a somewhat unexpected connection between the equitangent and the billiard problems.

The case $n = 2$, that of 'bigons', is special, and we study it in Section 5. The space $\mathcal{F}_2$ is 5-dimensional, and the distribution $\mathcal{D}$ is bracket generating of the type $(3, 5)$. We show that, given a strictly convex 2-fine curve γ, there exists a set of 2-fine curves sufficiently close to γ, parameterized by a certain infinite-dimensional Hilbert manifold. Thus 2-fine curves enjoy a great flexibility.

Section 6 concerns the cases $n = 3$ and $n = 4$: we prove that, in these cases, one has rigidity: 3- and 4-fine curves must be circles.

Section 7 provides a construction of nested curves $\gamma \subset \Gamma$ where Γ is contained in the equitangent locus of γ. In other words, the two tangent segments to γ from every point of Γ have equal lengths. The curve γ is a smooth strictly convex perturbation of a regular polygon.

In the last Section 8, we address the following question: does there exist a

non-circular n-fine curve such that the vertices of the respective equitangent n-gons belong to a circle?

By the Poncelet Porism (see, e.g., [9]), there exist 1-parameter families of bicentric polygons, inscribed into a circle Γ and circumscribed about a circle γ, and these polygons are automatically equitangent to γ; we ask whether γ can be a non-circular oval. We conjecture that the answer is negative, and establish an infinitesimal version of this conjecture for odd n. For odd n, we reduce this problem to the study of a certain flow on the space of inscribed n-gons.

Acknowledgements. We are grateful to R. Montgomery and V. Zharnitsky for stimulating discussions. The second author was supported by the NSF grant DMS-1105442.

2 Framed polygons and oriented chains of circles

By the angle between two vectors u and v we mean the angle through which one needs to rotate u to align it with v (with the usual counter-clock orientation of the plane). We denote the angle by $\angle(u,v)$. By this definition, $\angle(u,v) = -\angle(v,u)$. The angles are measured mod 2π.

The next definition is motivated by a discussion in Introduction, see Figure 1.

Definition 1 *A framing of an n-gon $B_1, B_2, \dots$ is an assignment of unit vectors $u_1, u_2, \dots$ to its respective vertices such that*

$$\angle(u_i, B_iB_{i+1}) = \angle(B_iB_{i+1}, u_{i+1})$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, n$ (here and elsewhere the index is understood cyclically). If (u_i) is a framing then so is $(-u_i)$, and we consider framing up to this involution, acting simultaneously on all vectors u_i.

Denote by $\mathcal{F}_n$ the space of framed n-gons (we assume that the polygons are non-degenerate in the sense that no two consecutive vertices coincide).

Given a polygon B, denote by φ_i the direction of the side B_iB_{i+1}, and let $\theta_i = \varphi_i - \varphi_{i-1}$ be the exterior angle at vertex B_i.

Lemma 2.1 *If n is odd, then an n-gon has a unique framing. If n is even, then an n-gon admits a framing if and only if*

$$\sum_{i \text{ odd}} \theta_i \equiv \sum_{i \text{ even}} \theta_i \equiv 0 \bmod \pi,$$

in which case there is a 1-parameter family of framings.

Proof. Denote by α_i the direction of the framing vector u_i. Then one has $\alpha_i - \varphi_i = \varphi_i - \alpha_{i+1},\ i = 1, \dots, n$. If n is odd, the system has a unique solution (up to addition of π to all α_i):

$$\alpha_i = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j \varphi_{i+j}.$$

If n is even then the system has corank 1, and taking the alternating sum of the equations yields $2\sum(-1)^i\varphi_i = 0 \bmod 2\pi$. It follows that

$$\sum_{i \text{ odd}} \theta_i \ \text{ and } \ \sum_{i \text{ even}} \theta_i$$

are multiples of π.

If this condition holds then the space of solutions is 1-dimensional, and all solutions are obtained from one by adding a constant to even angles α_i and subtracting this constant from the odd ones. □

For example, a framed quadrilateral is cyclic, that is, inscribed into a circle.

Corollary 2.2 *For $n \geq 3$, one has: $\dim \mathcal{F}_n = 2n$.*

Let $A_1, A_2, \dots$ be an equitangent polygon circumscribed about a curve γ, and let $B_1, B_2, \dots$ be the tangency points. Then, for each i, there exists a circle, C_i, tangent to γ at points B_{i-1} and B_i, see Figure 2.

We obtain a chain of circles in which each next one is tangent to the previous one (at point B_i). This prompts the next definition.

Definition 2 *A chain of circles $C_1, C_2, \dots, C_n$ is a collection of circles such that C_i is tangent to C_{i+1} for $i = 1, \dots, n$ (we assume that $C_i \neq C_{i+1}$). A chain is called oriented if the circles can be assigned signs, subject to the*

following rule: the signs of exterior tangent circles are opposite, and the signs of interior tangent circles are the same. The signs in an oriented chain can be simultaneously changed to the opposite; we consider oriented chains up to this involution. A signed radius of a circle is its radius, taken with the respective sign.

The signs determine the coorientations of the circles; in an oriented chain, the coorientations agree. In other words, the circles in an oriented chain can rotate (as if they were linked gears): circles of the same sign revolve in the same sense.

Note also that if a chain of n circles is not oriented, one can double it and obtain an oriented chain of $2n$ circles.

Denote by $\mathcal{C}_n$ the space of oriented chains of n circles.

The next lemma is a version of Huygens' principle.

Lemma 2.3 *Given an oriented chain of circles, one can add a constant to all the signed radii to obtain a new oriented chain, see Figure 3.*

Proof. This follows from the fact that the coorientations of the circles in an oriented chain agree. □



Figure 3: An oriented chain of circles.

Let O_i be the center of circle C_i in an oriented chain. Orient the segment O_iO_{i+1} from the smaller (signed) radius to the larger one (note that $r_i \neq r_{i+1}$, unless the two circles coincide). The signed perimeter length of the polygon $O_1, O_2, \ldots$ is the algebraic sum of the length of its sides, where the side is taken with the positive sign if its orientation agrees with the cyclic orientation of the polygon, and with the negative sign otherwise.

Lemma 2.4 *1) If a segment O_1O_2 is oriented from O_1 to O_2 then its length is $r_2 - r_1$, where the radii are signed.*
2) The signed perimeter length of the polygon $O_1, O_2, \ldots, O_n$ is zero.

Proof. Claim 1) easily follows by considering the three cases of signs: $(-,-), (-,+), (+,+)$. For 2), taking the signs into account, the sum 'telescopes' to zero, see Figure 4. □



Figure 4: Cancellation in the signed perimeter length: $(r_2 - r_1) - (r_2 - r_3) = r_3 - r_1$.

Denote by $\mathcal{E}_n$ the space of n-gons with oriented edges and zero signed perimeter length. One has the projection $\pi : \mathcal{C}_n \to \mathcal{E}_n$ that assigns to a chain of circles the polygon made of their centers.

Lemma 2.5 *The projection $\pi : \mathcal{C}_n \to \mathcal{E}_n$ is an $\mathbf{R}$-bundle with the $\mathbf{R}$-action described in Lemma 2.3.*

Proof. Consider a polygon with zero signed perimeter length, and choose a vertex. Assign a signed radius to this vertex arbitrarily, and consider an adjacent vertex. Using the first claim of Lemma 2.4, we assign the signed radius to this vertex, and then proceed to the next one. Continuing in this way, signed radii are assigned to all the vertices, and after we return to the original vertex, this assignment is consistent, due to zero signed perimeter length of the polygon. □

The previous lemmas imply the next corollary.

Corollary 2.6 *One has: $\dim \mathcal{C}_n = 2n$.*

Let (B_i, u_i) be a framed polygon. It is called *non-generic* if, for some i, the vectors u_{i-1}, u_i, u_{i+1} are tangent to the circle through the vertices B_{i-1}, B_i, B_{i+1}. A chain of circles C_i is called *non-generic* if the tangency

points of C_{i-1} with C_i and of C_i with C_{i+1} coincide. Denote the spaces of generic chains and generic framed polygons by $\mathcal{C}_n^\circ$ and $\mathcal{F}_n^\circ$, respectively.

The equality of the dimensions of $\mathcal{F}_n$ and $\mathcal{C}_n$ is not a coincidence. Let B_{i-1} and B_i be consecutive vertices of a framed polygon. There exists a unique circle through points B_{i-1} and B_i, tangent to the framing vectors therein. This defines a map $\mathcal{F}_n^\circ \to \mathcal{C}_n^\circ$, see Figure 5.



Figure 5: The bijection $\mathcal{F}_n^\circ \to \mathcal{C}_n^\circ$.

Proposition 2.7 *This map $\mathcal{F}_n^\circ \to \mathcal{C}_n^\circ$ is a bijection.*

Proof. A framed polygons gives rise to a chain of circles whose consecutive tangency points are the vertices of the polygon. The framing vectors define the directions of consistent rotation of these circles, hence the chain is oriented. Since the framed polygon is generic, no two consecutive circles coincide.

Conversely, the tangency points of the consecutive circles in a chain define a polygon, and a choice of consistent rotations of the circles provides its framing (by the velocity vectors of the rotating circles). □

3 A distribution on $\mathcal{C}_n$

The Birkhoff distribution on the space of polygons, introduced in [4, 16], is defined as follows.

Let $P_1, P_2, \dots$ be a polygon. Assign the direction at vertex P_i generated by the vector

$$\frac{P_i - P_{i-1}}{|P_i - P_{i-1}|} + \frac{P_{i+1} - P_i}{|P_{i+1} - P_i|},$$

see Figure 6. This agrees with the billiard reflection law: the incoming trajectory $P_{i-1}P_i$ elastically reflects to the outgoing trajectory P_iP_{i+1}.



Figure 6: Birkhoff distribution.

Assigning a direction to every vertex defines an n-dimensional distribution $\mathcal{B}$ on the $2n$-dimensional space of n-gons, called the Birkhoff distribution. In fact, $\mathcal{B}$ is tangent to the level hypersurfaces of the perimeter length function, defining a distribution on these hypersurfaces.

It is proved in [4] that $\mathcal{B}$ is bracket generating of the type $(n, 2n-1)$, i.e., the vector fields tangent to the distribution and their first commutators already span the tangent space to the space of n-gons of a fixed perimeter length.

We shall now construct a distribution on the space of oriented chains of circles $\mathcal{C}_n$ which is an extension of the Birkhoff distribution.

Consider an oriented chain of circles, and let $O_1, O_2, \dots$ and $r_1, r_2, \dots$ be the centers and the signed radii. Let us define an infinitesimal deformation of vertex O_i and radius r_i. The two sides, adjacent to O_i, are oriented. Consider the line that bisects the angle between these two oriented lines: this bisector defines infinitesimal motions of the vertex O_i, see Figure 7.[1] The radius r_i infinitesimally changes in such a way that claim 1) of Lemma 2.4 continues to hold.

The next lemma shows that the definition is correct. Let O_i' be the perturbed vertex.

Lemma 3.1 *In the linear approximation, the signed perimeter length of the polygon $O_1, \dots, O_{i-1}, O_i', O_{i+1}, \dots, O_n$ remains zero.*

[1] This kind of generalized billiards were recently studied by A. Glutsyuk [12, 13].



Figure 7: Generalized billiard reflections.

Proof. This follows from the optical properties of conics.

Specifically, consider the first case in Figure 7. The locus of points O_2 such that $|O_1O_2|+|O_2O_3|$ is fixed is an ellipse with foci O_1 and O_3. A billiard trajectory from one focus reflects to another focus, i.e., the line in the figure is tangent to the ellipse. Hence, in the first approximation, $|O_1O_2'| + |O_2'O_3|$ does not change.

The other two cases are similar: the locus of points O_2 such that $|O_1O_2| - |O_2O_3|$ is fixed is a hyperbola with the foci O_1 and O_3, and the claim follows from the optical property of hyperbolas. $\square$

We have defined an infinitesimal deformation for each i; taken together, this gives an n-dimensional distribution on $\mathcal{C}_n$ which we denote by $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$. Note that the rule that assigns directions to the vertices of a polygon in $\mathcal{E}_n$ defines an n-dimensional distribution on $\mathcal{E}_n$. Slightly abusing notation, we continue to denote it by $\mathcal{B}$ and call the Birkhoff distribution. The differential $d\pi$ is a linear isomorphism of the spaces of the distributions $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ and $\mathcal{B}$.

The main result of this section is the following theorem.

Theorem 1 *The distribution $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ on $\mathcal{C}_n$ is bracket generating of the type $(n, 2n)$.*

Proof. The proof is a modification of the argument given in [4].

Consider an oriented chain of circles, and let $O_1, O_2, \dots$ and $r_1, r_2, \dots$ be the centers and the signed radii. Let us label the edges of the polygon $O_1, O_2, \dots$ by half-integers (also cyclic mod n). As before, the edges are oriented. Let u_j be the unit vector giving jth edge its orientation (so $j \in \mathbf{Z}_n + 1/2$), and let $2\theta_i = \angle(u_{i-1/2}, u_{i+1/2})$.

Denote the rotation of the plane through $\pi/2$ by J (the complex structure on $\mathbf{R}^2 = \mathbf{C}$). A tangent vector to $\mathcal{C}_n$ defines an infinitesimal motion of the vertices O_i and a rate of change of the radii r_i. Let v_i be the tangent vector to $\mathcal{C}_n$ that moves vertex O_i only with the velocity $J(u_{i+1/2} - u_{i-1/2})$ (all other

vertices do not move) and changes the radius r_i only with the rate $-\sin(2\theta_i)$ (all other radii are intact). Obviously, the vectors v_i are linearly independent.

Lemma 3.2 *The vectors v_i, $i = 1, \dots, n$, span $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$.*

Proof. It is clear that the vector $J(u_{i+1/2} - u_{i-1/2})$ defines the 'correct' direction at O_i, see Figure 7. What one needs to check is that r_i changes as claimed. To this end, we use Lemma 2.4.

Assume that the edge $O_{i-1}O_i$ is oriented toward O_i (the other case is analogous). Then $|O_{i-1}O_i| = r_i - r_{i-1}$. The rate of change of $|O_{i-1}O_i|$ is

$$u_{i-1/2} \cdot J(u_{i+1/2} - u_{i-1/2}) = (u_{i+1/2} - u_{i-1/2}) \times u_{i-1/2} = -u_{i-1/2} \times u_{i+1/2} = -\sin(2\theta_i),$$

as claimed. □

Next, one computes the commutators $[v_i, v_j]$. It is clear that if $|i - j| \geq 2$ then v_i and v_j commute. One needs to compute $[v_{j-1/2}, v_{j+1/2}]$ for a half-integer j.

Let w_j be the following tangent vector to $\mathcal{C}_n$: it moves only vertices $O_{j-1/2}$ and $O_{j+1/2}$ with the velocities

$$\frac{u_j}{\sin^2 \theta_{j-1/2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{u_j}{\sin^2 \theta_{j+1/2}}, \tag{1}$$

respectively, and changes only the radii $r_{j-1/2}$ and $r_{j+1/2}$ with the rates

$$\frac{\cos 2\theta_{j-1/2}}{\sin^2 \theta_{j-1/2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\cos 2\theta_{j+1/2}}{\sin^2 \theta_{j+1/2}},$$

respectively.

Lemma 3.3 *The commutator $[v_{j-1/2}, v_{j+1/2}]$ is proportional to w_j.*

Proof. The vector $[v_{j-1/2}, v_{j+1/2}]$ moves vertices $O_{j-1/2}$ and $O_{j+1/2}$ and changes the radii $r_{j-1/2}$ and $r_{j+1/2}$, leaving everything else intact. The computation of the action on the vertices is the same as for the Birkhoff distribution done in [4]. We use the result: it is an infinitesimal motion of these two vertices along the line $O_{j-1/2}O_{j+1/2}$ such that the signed perimeter length remains zero.

Let us check that the velocities (1) satisfy this description. Once again, different combinations of orientations give the same result; let us consider the situation in Figure 8. The rates of change of the three edge lengths are, respectively,

$$\frac{u_{j-1}\cdot u_j}{\sin^2\theta_{j-1/2}}=\frac{\cos 2\theta_{j-1/2}}{\sin^2\theta_{j-1/2}},\ \frac{1}{\sin^2\theta_{j+1/2}}-\frac{1}{\sin^2\theta_{j-1/2}},\ -\frac{u_{j+1}\cdot u_j}{\sin^2\theta_{j+1/2}}=-\frac{\cos 2\theta_{j+1/2}}{\sin^2\theta_{j+1/2}}, \tag{2}$$

and the sum is zero, as needed.



Figure 8: To Lemma 3.3.

To calculate the rate of change of the radii, we use Lemma 2.4 again. One has $|O_{j-1/2}O_{j-3/2}| = r_{j-1/2} - r_{j-3/2}$, hence, using (2),

$$r'_{j-1/2}=\frac{\cos 2\theta_{j-1/2}}{\sin^2\theta_{j-1/2}}.$$

Likewise, $|O_{j+1/2}O_{j+3/2}| = r_{j+3/2} - r_{j+1/2}$, hence

$$r'_{j+1/2}=\frac{\cos 2\theta_{j-1/2}}{\sin^2\theta_{j+1/2}},$$

as claimed. □

It is proved in [4] that the projections of the $2n$ vectors v_i and w_j to $\mathcal{E}_n$ span the tangent space at every point. We need to show that the span of these vectors also contains the fiber of the projection $\pi : \mathcal{C}_n \to \mathcal{E}_n$. To this end, we compute the kernel of $d\pi$.

Lemma 3.4 *The vector*

$$\xi=\sum w_j+\sum\frac{\cos\theta_i}{\sin^3\theta_i}\ v_i$$

generates Ker $d\pi$.

Proof. We need to show that ξ does not move any vertex. Indeed, the velocity of O_i corresponding to the tangent vector ξ is

$$\frac{\cos\theta_i}{\sin^3\theta_i}J(u_{i+1/2}-u_{j-1/2})+\frac{1}{\sin^2\theta_i}(u_{i+1/2}+u_{j-1/2}). \tag{3}$$

Without loss of generality, assume that

$$u_{i+1/2}=(\cos\theta_i,\sin\theta_i),\ u_{i-1/2}=(\cos\theta_i,-\sin\theta_i),$$

and it follows by a direct computation that (3) vanishes.

Finally, using the definitions of w_j and v_i, we compute the rate of change of the radii under ξ:

$$r_i'=2\frac{\cos 2\theta_i}{\sin^2\theta_i}-\sin 2\theta_i\frac{\cos\theta_i}{\sin^3\theta_i}=-2.$$

Thus ξ corresponds to the deformation described in Lemma 2.3, adding a constant to all the radii. □

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

4 A distribution on $\mathcal{F}_n$ and n-fine curves

Recall the correspondence $\mathcal{C}_n^\circ \to \mathcal{F}_n^\circ$ from Proposition 2.7. Given an oriented chain of circles with centers $O_1, O_2, \ldots$ and signed radii $r_1, r_2, \ldots$, let us now label the tangency points of the consecutive circles by half-integers: B_j is the tangency point of the circles with centers $O_{j-1/2}$ and $O_{j+1/2}$ where $j \in \mathbf{Z}+\frac{1}{2}$. Then consistent orientations of the circles define directions u_j at points B_j, providing a framed polygon (B_j, u_j).

As a result, we obtain an n-dimensional distribution $\mathcal{D}$ on $\mathcal{F}_n^\circ$, the image of the distribution $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ on $\mathcal{C}_n^\circ$.

Let us give a geometric description of the distribution $\mathcal{D}$. Consider a framed n-gon (B_j, u_j). If n is odd, consider the motion of vertex B_k with the velocity u_k; all other vertices do not move. By Lemma 2.1, the framing direction are determined by the polygon, and we obtain a tangent vector to $\mathcal{F}_n$, denoted by η_k.

If n is even, this approach does not work because moving only one vertex of a polygon may violate the condition of Lemma 2.1. Instead, we describe the

distribution as spanned by certain motions of the sides of a polygon. Namely, for an integer m, consider the motion of two vertices, $B_{m-1/2}$ and $B_{m+1/2}$, such that the velocity of $B_{m-1/2}$ is proportional to $u_{m-1/2}$, the velocity of $B_{m+1/2}$ is proportional to $u_{m+1/2}$, and the condition of Lemma 2.1 holds. The rest of the vertices and the framing vectors remain intact. This motion defines a tangent vector to $\mathcal{F}_n$ which we denote by ν_m.

Lemma 4.1 *For odd n, the distribution $\mathcal{D}$ is generated by the vectors η_k, and for even n, by the vectors ν_m.*



Figure 9: Proof of Lemma 4.1.

Proof. Consider a generator of the distribution $\widetilde{\mathcal{B}}$ corresponding to an infinitesimal motion of the center O_1 along a hyperbola with foci O_0 and O_2 in Figure 9. The perturbed circle remains tangent to the fixed circles with centers O_0 and O_2, therefore the tangency points $B_{1/2}$ and $B_{3/2}$ move along these circles, that is, their velocities are proportional to $u_{1/2}$ and $u_{3/2}$. This is precisely the description of the vector ν_1.

For odd n, this tangent vector to $\mathcal{F}_n$ is a linear combination of $\eta_{1/2}$ and $\eta_{3/2}$. Hence $\mathcal{D}$ is a subspace of the space spanned by the vectors η_k. Since the dimensions are equal, these spaces coincide. □

The distribution $\mathcal{D}$ comes equipped with a *positive cone* consisting of those infinitesimal motions of framed polygons for which each vertex B_j moves with the velocity that is a positive multiple of the vector u_j.

The distribution $\mathcal{D}$ is defined on non-generic framed polygons as well, but its bracket generating properties do not extend to the whole of $\mathcal{F}_n$.

Example 4.2 Fix an oriented circle and consider the set of n-gons inscribed in this circle and framed by the tangent vectors to it. One obtains an n-dimensional submanifold $S_n \subset \mathcal{F}_n$, tangent to $\mathcal{D}$, that is, S_n is an n-dimensional leaf of $\mathcal{D}$. Note that the respective chains of circles are degenerate: all the circles in the chains coincide.

Now we define a geometric object of the main interest of this study.

Definition 3 *An n-fine curve is an oriented curve γ with a 1-parameter family of inscribed framed n-gons $B_1(t), \dots, B_n(t)$, whose framing is given by the positive unit tangent vectors of γ at the respective points $B_j(t)$; here $t \in [0,1]$ is the parameter in the family. The family satisfies the assumptions:*

1. *the velocity $B_j'(t)$ has the positive direction for all values of t and j (that is, all vertices move along γ with positive speeds);*

2. *the vertices of the polygon are cyclically permuted over a period:*

$$B_j(t+1) = B_{j+1}(t) \text{ for all } t \text{ and } j;$$

3. *for $n \geq 3$, the tangent lines to γ at points $B_j(t)$ and $B_{j+1}(t)$ are not parallel for all t and j.*

The 1-parameter families of inscribed polygons $B(t)$ are considered up to reparameterizations.

The locus of the intersection points of the tangent lines at points $B_j(t)$ and $B_{j+1}(t)$ is a curve Γ contained in the equitangent locus of the curve γ, see Figure 10.



Figure 10: Simple and star-shaped polygons in a 5-fine curve.

Example 4.3 A circle provides an example of an n-fine curve for all n. In fact, a circle gives infinitely many n-fine curves, since any inscribed polygon is framed by the tangent directions to the circle.

An ellipse is not a 4-fine curve, in spite of the fact that at admits a 1-parameter family of inscribed framed quadrilaterals: not all the vertices move in the "right" direction, see Figure 11.



Figure 11: An ellipse is not a 4-fine curve.

A curve in a manifold with a distribution is called *horizontal* if it is everywhere tangent to the distribution. If a distribution is equipped with a field of cones, a horizontal curve is called *positive* if its velocity at every point belongs to the respective cone.

The cyclic group $\mathbf{Z}_n$ acts on $\mathcal{F}_n$ by cyclically permuting the vertices of a polygon; this action preserves the distribution $\mathcal{D}$. Denote by σ the generator of this group $(1, 2, \dots, n) \mapsto (2, 3, \dots, n, 1)$.

The above discussion implies the following result relating n-fine curves with horizontal curves in $(\mathcal{F}_n, \mathcal{D})$.

Proposition 4.4 *An n-fine curve γ lifts to a positive horizontal curve $\widetilde{\gamma}(t) = (B_1(t), \dots, B_n(t))$ in $\mathcal{F}_n$ satisfying the monodromy condition $\widetilde{\gamma}(t+1) = \sigma(\widetilde{\gamma}(t))$. Conversely, given such a horizontal curve, the plane curves $B_j(t)$, $t \in [0, 1], j = 1, \dots, n$, combine to form an n-fine curve.*

5 A case study: bigons

In this section we consider the special case of framed bigons.

By a framed 2-gon we mean a segment B_1B_2 with unit vectors u_1 and u_2 attached to points B_1 and B_2, such that

$$\angle(u_1, B_1B_2) = \angle(B_1B_2, u_2).$$

Thus if (B_1B_2, u_1, u_2) is a framed 2-gon then so is (B_2B_1, u_2, u_1) (this is the action of $\mathbf{Z}_2$ on $\mathcal{F}_2$).

One can think of a framed 2-gon as a mechanical device consisting of a telescopic axle of variable length with two wheels making the same angle with the axle, see Figure 12.



Figure 12: A framed 2-gon.

The space $\mathcal{F}_2$ is 5-dimensional: the general formula $\dim \mathcal{F}_n = 2n$ does not apply since the condition of Lemma 2.1 automatically holds for $n = 2$. We introduce coordinates as follows: (x, y) is the midpoint of the segment B_1B_2, φ is its direction, r is its half-length, and α is the angle made by the framing vectors with the segment.

The distribution $\mathcal{D}$ is defined by the condition that the velocities of B_1 and B_2 are aligned with u_1 and u_2, respectively. The positive cone is defined by the condition that these velocities are proportional to u_1 and u_2 with positive coefficients.

Similarly to Theorem 1, the distribution $\mathcal{D}$ is non-integrable.

Theorem 2 *The distribution $\mathcal{D}$ is bracket generating of the type $(3, 5)$.*

Proof. One has:

$$B_1 = (x - r\cos\varphi, y - r\sin\varphi),\ \ B_2 = (x + r\cos\varphi, y + r\sin\varphi).$$

The velocities of B_1 and B_2 are proportional to u_1 and u_2, respectively. Differentiate B_1 and B_2, and take cross product with

$$u_1 = (\cos(\varphi - \alpha), \sin(\varphi - \alpha)),\ \ u_2 = (\cos(\varphi + \alpha), \sin(\varphi + \alpha)),$$

to obtain, after some transformations, the following two relations:

$$x' \sin\varphi - y' \cos\varphi + r' \tan\alpha = 0,\ x' \cos\varphi + y' \sin\varphi - r\varphi' \cot\alpha = 0.$$

These relations define the distribution $\mathcal{D}$ in coordinates $(x, y, r, \alpha, \varphi)$.

Change coordinates (x, y) to (p, q) as follows (this coordinate change is suggested by the theory of support function in convex geometry):

$$x = p \sin\varphi - q \cos\varphi,\ y = -p \cos\varphi - q \sin\varphi.$$

In the new coordinates, the distribution $\mathcal{D}$ is given by the equations

$$p' + q\varphi' + r' \tan\alpha = 0,\ p\varphi' - q' - r\varphi' \cot\alpha = 0.$$

It follows that $\mathcal{D}$ is defined by the 1-forms

$$\theta_1 = dp + q\ d\varphi + \tan\alpha\ dr,\ \theta_2 = dq - (p - r\cot\alpha)\ d\varphi, \tag{4}$$

and is generated by the vector fields

$$\nu = \frac{\partial}{\partial\alpha},\ \xi = \frac{\partial}{\partial\varphi} - q\frac{\partial}{\partial p} + (p - r\cot\alpha)\frac{\partial}{\partial q},\ \eta = \tan\alpha\frac{\partial}{\partial p} - \frac{\partial}{\partial r}.$$

Therefore

$$[\nu, \xi] = \frac{r}{\sin^2\alpha}\ \frac{\partial}{\partial q},\ [\nu, \eta] = \frac{1}{\cos^2\alpha}\ \frac{\partial}{\partial p}.$$

This implies that the first order commutators of vector fields tangent to $\mathcal{D}$ generate the tangent bundle of $\mathcal{F}_2$ at every point. □

Remark 5.1 A bracket generating distributions of the type $(3, 5)$ contains a 2-dimensional sub-distribution that bracket generates it, see [18], sect. 6.8. Thus the study of distributions of types $(3, 5)$ and $(2, 3, 5)$ is essentially the same. We do not know a geometrical interpretation of this 2-dimensional distribution in our setting. Let us also mention that distributions of the type $(3, 5)$ arise in rolling a surface on another surface, for example, a ball rolling on a plane.

For $n = 2$, we need to remove the last item in the definition of n-fine curves. The issue is that if a segment B_1B_2 makes half a turn inside a curve γ, so that the endpoints B_1 and B_2 swap positions, there must be a moment

when the tangent lines to γ at the endpoints of the segment are parallel (this readily follows from the intermediate value theorem).

Thus we do not exclude the case when these tangents intersect at infinity. Then the locus of the intersection points, Γ, becomes a non-contractible curve in the projective plane. For example, as was mentioned earlier, there is an infinite-dimensional family of 2-fine curves γ for which Γ is a straight line.

Now we shall show that 2-fine curves are very flexible and vary in infinite-dimensional families. We shall use material from [18], especially chapter 5 and appendix D.

Let M be a manifold equipped with a k-dimensional distribution $\mathcal{D}$. One considers the space $H^2_{\mathcal{D}}$ of parameterized horizontal curves $[0,1] \to M$ whose first two derivatives are square integrable. For a point $x \in M$, the space $H^2_{\mathcal{D}}(x)$ of curves starting at x is a Hilbert manifold. The functional dimension of this manifold equals k.

Now we consider $M = \mathcal{F}_2$ with its distribution $\mathcal{D}$.

Theorem 3 *Given a strictly convex 2-fine curve γ, there exists a set of 2-fine curves sufficiently close to γ, which is in one-to-one correspondence with a codimension 5 submanifold of the Hilbert manifold $H^2_{\mathcal{D}}(x)$.*

Proof. The 2-fine curve γ gives rise to a horizontal curve $\widetilde{\gamma}(t)$ in $\mathcal{F}_2$, see Proposition 4.4. Let $x = \widetilde{\gamma}(0), y = \widetilde{\gamma}(1)$; these endpoints correspond to the same chord of γ with the opposite orientations. Consider the set of horizontal curves $H^2_{\mathcal{D}}(x,y) \subset H^2_{\mathcal{D}}(x)$ with the terminal point y. A horizontal curve from $H^2_{\mathcal{D}}(x,y)$, which is sufficiently close to $\widetilde{\gamma}$, defines a 2-fine curve close to γ.

To describe a neighborhood of $\widetilde{\gamma}$ in $H^2_{\mathcal{D}}(x,y)$, let $\pi : H^2_{\mathcal{D}}(x) \to \mathcal{F}_2$ be the map that assigns the terminal point to a path. This map is smooth, and if it is a submersion at $\widetilde{\gamma}$, then $H^2_{\mathcal{D}}(x,y) = \pi^{-1}(y)$ is a submanifold in $H^2_{\mathcal{D}}(x)$ of codimension equal to the dimension of $\mathcal{F}_2$, that is, of codimension 5. A curve which is a singular point of the map π is called singular.

To prove that $\widetilde{\gamma}$ is not singular, we use the following criterion from [18]. Given a manifold M with a distribution $\mathcal{D}$, let θ_i be a basis of 1-forms that define $\mathcal{D}$. Consider the differential 2-forms $\Omega(\lambda) = \sum \lambda_i d\theta_i$ (where λ_i are Lagrange multipliers, not all equal to zero). Then a horizontal curve $\widetilde{\gamma}$ is singular if and only if its tangent vector at every point lies in the kernel of $\Omega(\lambda)$ for some choice of λ.

Now, to a calculation. According to (4),

$$d\theta_1 = dq \wedge d\varphi \ - \sec^2 \alpha \ d\alpha \wedge dr, \ d\theta_2 = d\varphi \wedge d(p + r\cot\alpha).$$

One has

$$\Omega(\lambda) = \lambda_1(dq\wedge d\varphi - \sec^2\alpha\, d\alpha\wedge dr) + \lambda_2(d\varphi\wedge dp + \cot\alpha\, d\varphi\wedge dr - r\csc^2\alpha\, d\varphi\wedge d\alpha).$$

Let $\widetilde{\gamma} = (p(t), q(t), r(t), \varphi(t), \alpha(t))$, and set $\widetilde{\gamma}' = w$. Assume that $i_w\Omega(\lambda) = 0$. Since

$$i_w\Omega(\lambda) = \lambda_2\varphi' dp - \lambda_1\varphi' dq + \dots$$

where dots mean a combination of $dr, d\alpha$ and $d\varphi$, and since both λ_1 and λ_2 cannot vanish simultaneosly, we conclude that $\varphi' = 0$.

However, if a 2-fine curve is strictly convex, then the first item of the definition of 2-fine curves implies that the chord $B_1(t)B_2(t)$ rotates with a nonzero rate, that is, $\varphi' \neq 0$, a contradiction. □

Remark 5.2 A classic example of a singular horizontal curve is the curve $\gamma(t) = (t, 0, 0),\ t \in [0, 1]$ tangent to the distribution $dz = y^2dx$ in 3-space. If $(x(t), y(t), z(t))$ is its perturbation as a horizontal curve with fixed end-points then

$$0 = z(1) - z(0) = \int_0^1 y^2(t)x'(t)dt.$$

Since $x'(t) > 0$, we have $y(t) = 0$, and hence $z(t) = 0$, for all t; thus the perturbed curve is a reparameterization of γ. Therefore the curve γ is rigid, see [18].

6 Triangles and quadrilaterals

Let us consider n-fine curves for small values of n, namely, $n = 3$ and $n = 4$.

Proposition 6.1 *A 3-fine curve is a circle.*

Proof. Any triangle is inscribed into a circle and, as a framed polygon, is of the kind described in Example 4.2. Hence the corresponding horizontal curve in $\mathcal{F}_3$ stays in S_3, that is, the respective triangles are inscribed into the same circle. □

Remark 6.2 The distribution $\mathcal{D}$ on $\mathcal{F}_3$ is integrable, that is, it is a foliation. This foliation consists of the level surfaces of three functions: the radius and the two components of the center of the incircle. This does not contradict Theorem 1 since $\mathcal{F}_3^\circ = \emptyset$.

A similar rigidity results holds for $n = 4$.

Proposition 6.3 *A 4-fine curve is a circle.*

Proof. As we mentioned earlier, a framed quadrilateral is cyclic.

According to Lemma 2.1, the framing of our inscribed quadrilateral $P = (B_1B_2B_3B_4)$ is obtained from the tangent vectors to the circumscribed circle, say, C, by rotating the odd and the even ones the same amount, say, φ, in the opposite directions. See Figure 13.

Let γ be a 4-fine curve into which P is inscribed.

If $\varphi = 0$ then we are in the situation encountered earlier, for $n = 3$. Suppose that $\varphi > 0$. Then γ intersects the circle transversally.

Let P' be a quadrilateral, infinitesimally close to P, inscribed into γ. Then P is also inscribed into a circle, C'. Note that the odd vertices of P' are inside C and the even ones are outside of it. Therefore the circles C and C' intersect in four points, a contradiction. □



Figure 13: Proof of Proposition 6.3

Remark 6.4 The situation with small values of n – flexibility for $n = 2$ and rigidity for $n = 3, 4$ – resembles another problem of a somewhat similar flavor, the 2-dimensional Ulam's problem. The Ulam problem is to describe

homogeneous bodies that float in equilibrium in all positions [17]. In dimension three and higher, almost nothing is known, but in dimension two, a variety of results is available (although a complete solution is missing). A relevant quantity here is the perimetral density of the body, i.e., if the waterlines divide the boundary of a body K in a constant ratio $\sigma : (1 - \sigma)$ the σ is called the *perimetral density*. If this density is $1/2$, there is a functional family of solutions [2], but if the density is $1/3$ or $1/4$, then the only solutions are round discs. See [5, 6, 19, 22, 25, 26, 27] for references.

7 An example

Here we construct a nested pair of curves $\gamma \subset \Gamma$ such that Γ is contained in the equitangent locus of γ. Our approach is similar to that of [23].

We start with a discrete version of the problem, where a smooth curve is replaced by a polygon. For a convex polygon, the tangent direction at an interior point of a side is the direction of this side, and a tangent direction at a vertex is that of a support line at this vertex (thus there are many tangent directions at a vertex). We describe a position of a framed 2-gon by a triple whose first element is the chord, the second element is a tangent line at the first end-point, and the third element a tangent line at the second end-point.



Figure 14: Rotating a chord in a regular octagon

Consider a regular octagon in figure 14. Here is a two-step continuous motion of the framed 2-gon AD to BE:

$$(AD, AB, DC) \mapsto (BD, AB, ED) \mapsto (BE, BC, ED).$$

In the first step, as point A moves toward B, the support direction at the other end point D turns accordingly. In the second step, as point D moves toward E, the support direction at point B turns accordingly. The whole process consists of 16 steps, so that the chord makes a complete circuit.

Next we approximate the octagon by a strictly convex smooth curve. For example, we may replace each vertex by an arc of a circle of very small radius and each side by an arc of a circle of very large radius, thus approximating the octagon by a piecewise circular curve. In this way one obtains a C^1-smooth 2-fine curve γ having dihedral symmetry. The outer curve Γ is the locus of intersection points of the respective pairs of tangent lines of γ.

Remark 7.1 If γ is a piecewise circular curve then Γ is still a polygonal line. Indeed, the equitangent locus of two circles is a line, the radical axis of the two circles.

A similar construction can be made for other regular n-gons with $n \geq 7$. See Figure 15 for $n = 9$.



Figure 15: Construction based on a regular nonagon.

8 Can the equitangent locus of an n-fine curve be a circle?

As we mentioned earlier, the equitangent locus of a 2-fine curve may contain a straight line. This motivates the question in the title of this section.

Taken literally, this question has an affirmative answer: one may take $\gamma \subset \Gamma$ to be a pair of circles that support a family of Poncelet n-gons, inscribed in Γ and circumscribed about γ; such polygons are called bicentric.

The variety of circles inside Γ is 3-dimensional, and the existence of bicentric n-gons describes a 2-dimensional subvariety. Denote the radii of the circles by r and R, and the distance between their centers by d. Explicit formulas are available for the relation between these quantities (for $n = 3$, due to L. Euler, and for $n = 4, 5, 6, 7, 8$, to N. Fuss), for example,

$$R^2 = d^2 + 2rR \text{ for } n = 3, \ (R^2 - d^2)^2 = 2r^2(R^2 + d^2) \text{ for } n = 4,$$

see, e.g., [21] for a recent treatment.

Thus the real question is whether there exist a non-circular n-fine curve γ whose equitangent locus contains a circle Γ.

Let Γ be a unit circle; denote by $\mathcal{S}_n$ the set of inscribed n-gons. Assume that $(A_1, A_2, \ldots) \in \mathcal{S}_n$ is an equitangent polygon, that is, there exists a curve γ such that the two tangent segments to γ from each point A_i are equal. Denote the tangency points by B_j, where j is half-integer, as shown in Figure 16.



Figure 16: An equitangent polygon inscribed into a circle.

The following lemma is an analog of Lemma 2.1.

Lemma 8.1 *If n is odd then the polygon A uniquely determines the positions of points B_j. If n is even, then a necessary and sufficient condition for A to be an equitangent polygon is that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n}(-1)^i|A_iA_{i+1}| = 0.$$

If this relation holds then there exists a 1-parameter family of the respective polygons B.

Proof. Let $x_i = |A_iB_{i-1/2}| = |A_iB_{i+1/2}|$. Then one has a system of linear equations

$$x_i + x_{i+1} = |A_iA_{i+1}|,\ i = 1, \dots, n. \tag{5}$$

If n is odd, this system has a unique solution, and if n is even, its matrix has rank $n-1$. The alternating sum of the equations yields the relation claimed in the lemma.

We note that not every solution of the system is 'geometric': for example, if $x_i > |A_iA_{i+1}|$ then the point $B_{i+1/2}$ lies on an extension of the side A_iA_{i+1}. □

Note that, for $n = 4$, the condition of Lemma 8.1 means that the respective quadrilateral is bicentric.

To fix ideas, assume that n is odd: $n = 2m+1$. We also assume that the polygons A are convex.

Consider an equitangent n-gon, as in Figure 16. Move the vertex A_1 slightly. Then the vertex A_2 also moves, so that the line A_1A_2 remains tangent to the curve γ, which forces the vertex A_3 move as well, etc. The next lemma shows that this process describes a well defined motion of an inscribed n-gon.

Lemma 8.2 *In this process, the $(n+1)$st vertex moves the same amount as the 1st one, that is, the periodicity condition $A_{n+1} = A_1$ is preserved.*

Proof. Let A_i' be a perturbed vertex. By elementary geometry, the infinitesimal triangles $A_iA_i'B_{i+1/2}$ and $A_{i+1}A_{i+1}'B_{i+1/2}$ are similar, hence

$$\frac{|A_iA_i'|}{x_i} = \frac{|A_{i+1}A_{i+1}'|}{x_{i+1}}. \tag{6}$$

Continuing this chain of equalities, and using the fact that $x_{n+1} = x_1$, we find that $|A_1A_1'| = |A_{n+1}A_{n+1}'|$, as claimed. $\square$



Figure 17: Proof of Lemma 8.2.

Thus our construction provides a field of directions on the space of inscribed n-gons, $\mathcal{S}_n$. Assuming that vertex A_1 moves with unit speed, we obtain a vector field on $\mathcal{S}_n$, which we denote by ξ.

Recall that σ denotes the cyclic permutation of the vertices of an n-gon. If a trajectory of ξ connects a polygon A with the polygon $\sigma(A)$, then the envelopes of the lines A_iA_{i+1} combine to form the desired n-fine curve γ. For example, this is the case if A is bicentric.

The case $n = 3$ is trivial: every triangle is circumscribed about a circle, hence the trajectories of ξ on $\mathcal{S}_3$ consists of the Poncelet families of triangles. The first non-trivial case is that of pentagons.

We do not have a description of the trajectories of the vector field ξ on $\mathcal{S}_n$. As a first step toward this goal, we consider a trivial solution, corresponding to a regular n-gon (which is bicentric), and linearize the system near this solution.

Let $A_i = (\cos\alpha_i, \sin\alpha_i)$; we use $\alpha_i,\ i = 1, \dots, n$, as coordinates in $\mathcal{S}_n$.

Lemma 8.3 *After a change of the time parameter, the vector field ξ corresponds to the system of differential equations*

$$\dot{\alpha}_i = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} (-1)^k \sin\frac{\alpha_{i+1+k} - \alpha_{i+k}}{2},\ i = 1, \dots, n.$$

Proof. One has:

$$|A_iA_{i+1}| = 2\sin\frac{\alpha_{i+1}-\alpha_i}{2},$$

and the solution to the system of equations (5) is

$$x_i = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1}(-1)^k \sin\frac{\alpha_{i+1+k}-\alpha_{i+k}}{2}.$$

Using (6), we change the time in our system so that the vector field ξ becomes $\dot{\alpha}_i = x_i$, and this yields the result. □

The solution corresponding to the regular n-gon is

$$\alpha_i(t) = t\sin\frac{\pi}{n} + \frac{2\pi i}{n},\ i = 1,\dots,n,$$

with period

$$T_0 = \frac{2\pi}{\sin\frac{\pi}{n}}.$$

Consider its infinitesimal perturbation:

$$\alpha_i(t) = t\sin\frac{\pi}{n} + \frac{2\pi i}{n} + \varepsilon\beta_i(t). \tag{7}$$

Lemma 8.4 *The linearized system of differential equations is*

$$\dot{\beta}_i(t) = \cos\frac{\pi}{n}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{k-1}\beta_{i+k}\right),\ i = 1,\dots,n. \tag{8}$$

Proof. Computing modulo ε^2, we have:

$$\sin\frac{\alpha_{i+1+k}-\alpha_{i+k}}{2} = \sin\frac{\pi}{n} + \varepsilon\cos\frac{\pi}{n}\left(\frac{\beta_{i+1+k}-\beta_{i+k}}{2}\right),$$

hence

$$x_i = \sin\frac{\pi}{n} + \varepsilon\cos\frac{\pi}{n}\left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1}(-1)^{k-1}\beta_{i+k}\right).$$

Therefore the equation from Lemma 8.3 becomes (8). □

We note an integral of the system (8): $\sum \beta_i(t) = \text{const}$. Since adding a constant to all β_i amounts to a rotation of the circle, without loss of generality, we assume that $\sum \beta_i(t) = 0$.

The system has the circulant matrix

$$M_n = \cos\frac{\pi}{n}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 & 1 & \dots & -1 \\ -1 & 0 & 1 & -1 & \dots & 1 \\ \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \dots & \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & -1 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $\lambda_0 = 0, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{n-1}$ be its eigenvalues.

Lemma 8.5 *One has*

$$\lambda_j = -\lambda_{n-j} = \sqrt{-1}\cos\frac{\pi}{n}\tan\frac{\pi j}{n}, \quad j = 1, \dots, m.$$

Proof. The eigenvalues of a circulant matrix of order n are the values at ω^j of the polynomial whose coefficients are the entries of the first row; here $\omega = \exp(2\pi i/n)$. In the case at hand,

$$\lambda_j = \cos\frac{\pi}{n}\,(\omega^j - \omega^{2j} + \dots - \omega^{(n-1)j}) = \cos\frac{\pi}{n}\,\frac{\omega^{j/2} - \omega^{-j/2}}{\omega^{j/2} - \omega^{-j/2}} = i\cos\frac{\pi}{n}\,\tan\frac{\pi j}{n},$$

as claimed. □

The phase space of the differential equation (8) splits into the sum of a one-dimensional subspace, corresponding to the zero eigenvalue, and m two-dimensional subspaces, corresponding to the eigenvalues λ_j. The dynamics in the 1-dimensional subspace is trivial, and in the 2-dimensional subspaces, one has rotations with the angular velocities $|\lambda_j|$.

Example 8.6 If $n = 3$, all solutions are periodic, with the same period. This corresponds to the fact that every triangle is included into a 1-parameter family of Poncelet triangles.

If $n = 5$, the ratio of the absolute values of the eigenvalues equals $\sqrt{5} - 2$. Therefore the eigenvalues are rationally independent, and a generic trajectory is an irrational line on a 2-dimensional torus, see, e.g., [1]: the only periodic trajectories are the ones in the 2-dimensional eigenspaces, corresponding to convex and star-shaped regular pentagons.

Conjecture 1 *For odd $n \geq 7$, the eigenvalues λ_j, $j = 1, \ldots, m$, are rationally independent.*

Assuming this conjecture, we have an infinitesimal rigidity result.

Proposition 8.7 *For odd n, the only infinitesimal deformations of the 1-parameter family of the regular n-gons in the class of equitangent n-gons inscribed into a unit circle, are the families of bicentric n-gons.*

Proof. Assume that the perturbed system (8) has a periodic solution with period $T = T_0 + \varepsilon Q$. Then

$$(t+T)\sin\frac{\pi}{n} + \varepsilon\beta_i(t+T) = 2\pi + t\sin\frac{\pi}{n} + \varepsilon\beta_i(t)$$

for all t and j, and hence

$$\beta_i(t+T_0) - \beta_i(t) = -Q\sin\frac{\pi}{n} \tag{9}$$

(as before, computing modulo ε^2). Adding (9) for $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and using the fact that $\sum \beta_i = 0$ identically, we conclude that $Q = 0$. Hence the solution to (8) is periodic with period $T_0 = 2\pi/\sin\frac{\pi}{n}$.

Note that $|\lambda_1| = \sin\frac{\pi}{n}$, and the respective period is T_0. If a solution has non-zero components in at least two eigenspaces, then Conjecture 1 implies that the motion is not periodic. Finally, if only one component is non-zero, and it corresponds to λ_j with $j > 0$, then, due to Conjecture 1, the period is not commensurable with T_0.

We conclude that the only infinitesimal deformations of the regular n-gons belong to a 2-parameter family, corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_1 (the family is 2-parameter, and not 3-parameter, because we factored out the rotations of the circle). Since the families of bicentric n-gons are solutions and they also constitute a 2-parameter space of solutions, all infinitesimal perturbations are bicentric. □

We finish with another conjecture.

Conjecture 2 *If γ is an n-fine curve and the vertices of the respective equitangent n-gons lie on a circle then γ must be a circle as well.*

References

[1] V. Arnold. *Ordinary differential equations.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2006.

[2] H. Auerbach. *Sur un problem de M. Ulam concernant l'equilibre des corps flottants.* Studia Math. 7 (1938), 121–142.

[3] T. Banchoff, P. Giblin. *On the geometry of piecewise circular curves.* Amer. Math. Monthly 101 (1994), 403–416.

[4] Yu. Baryshnikov, V. Zharnitsky. *Sub-Riemannian geometry and periodic orbits in classical billiards.* Math. Res. Lett. 13 (2006), 587–598.

[5] J. Bracho, L. Montejano, D. Oliveros. *A classification theorem for Zindler carrousels.* J. Dynam. and Control Syst. 7 (2001), 367–384.

[6] J. Bracho, L. Montejano, D. Oliveros. *Carousels, Zindler curves and the floating body problem.* Period. Math. Hungar. 49 (2004), 9–23.

[7] J. Bruce, P. Giblin, C. Gibson. *Symmetry sets.* Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A 101 (1985), 163–186.

[8] Ph. Davis. *Circulant matrices.* John Wiley & Sons, 1979.

[9] L. Flatto. *Poncelet's theorem.* AMS, Providence, RI, 2009.

[10] P. Giblin, S. Brassett. *Local symmetry of plane curves.* Amer. Math. Monthly 92 (1985), 689–707.

[11] D. Genin, S. Tabachnikov. *On configuration spaces of plane polygons, sub-Riemannian geometry and periodic orbits of outer billiards.* J. Mod. Dyn. 1 (2007), 155–173.

[12] A. Glutsyuk. *On odd-periodic orbits in complex planar billiards.* J. Dyn. Control Syst. 20 (2014), 293–306.

[13] A. Glutsyuk. *On 4-reflective complex analytic planar billiards.* Preprint arXiv:1405.5990.

[14] J. Jeronimo-Castro, E. Roldán-Pensado. *A characteristic property of the Euclidean disc.* Period. Math. Hungar. 59 (2009), 215–224.

[15] J. Jeronimo-Castro, G. Ruiz-Hernandez, S. Tabachnikov. *The equal tangents property*. Adv. Geom. 14 (2014), 447–453.

[16] J. Landsberg. *Exterior differential systems and billiards*. Geometry, integrability and quantization, 35–54, Softex, Sofia, 2006.

[17] R. Mauldin. *The Scottish book, Mathematics from the Scottish Café*. Birhauser, 1981.

[18] R. Montgomery. *A tour of subriemannian geometries, their geodesics and applications*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2002.

[19] K. Odani. *On Ulam's floating body problem of two dimension*. Bulletin of Aichi Univ. of Education 58 (Natural Sciences) (2009), 1–4.

[20] H. Rademacher, O. Toeplitz. *The enjoyment of mathematics.* Princeton University Press, 1957.

[21] M. Radić. *One system of equations concerning bicentric polygons.* J. Geom. 91 (2009), 119–139.

[22] S. Tabachnikov. *Tire track geometry: variations on a theme*. Israel J. Math., 151 (2006), 1–28

[23] S. Tabachnikov. *The (un)equal tangents problem*. Amer. Math. Monthly 110 (2012), 398–405.

[24] A. Tumanov, V. Zharnitsky. *Periodic orbits in outer billiard.* Int. Math. Res. Not. 2006, Art. ID 67089, 17 pp.

[25] P. Várkonyi. *Floating body problems in two dimensions.* Stud. Appl. Math. 122 (2009), 195–218.

[26] F. Wegner. *Floating bodies of equilibrium*. Studies Appl. Math. 111 (2003), 167–183.

[27] F. Wegner. *Three problems, one solution*. A web site. `http://www.tphys.uni-heidelberg.de/~wegner/Fl2mvs/Movies.html`